

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,435	03/30/2004	Robert Gold	GO14-5136	3363
40627 7590 ADAMS & WILKS	*		EXAM	INER
ADAMS & WILKS 17 BATTERY PLACE SUITE 1231 NEW YORK, NY 10004		FIDEI,	FIDEI, DAVID	
	10004		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		int		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/812,435	GOLD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David T. Fidei	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communicate			ss	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on.			
	☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is	
closed in accordance with the practice i				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10,11,13,28,2</u>		m consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>8,9,12,27 and 30-32</u> is/are obj				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 March 2004</u> i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		· · ·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority doc				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Sta	ge	
application from the International				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	_	s)/Mail Date		
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of (Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/812,435

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 10, 11, 13, 28, 29 and 33-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 4, 2006.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I, species 1 in the reply filed on December 4, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the elected claims are generic to all species. This is not found persuasive because not all the elected claims are generic to all the species particularly where a preselected color is recited that is employed in one embodiment but not all the embodiments. Hence the elected claims are not all generic.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams et al (US 2004/0060840 A1). A container identification system is disclosed comprising a set of individual containers 10, 20 having an identifier 11, 12, 23, 24 associated with each of the containers in the set for visual discrimination of each of the containers. An opaque covering material covering the printed identifying indicia is contemplated in paragraph [0012] which

Application/Control Number: 10/812,435 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

when scratched off exposes the selected indicia so that one container may be identified differently from another container or all other containers, see paragraph [0001].

As to claims 3-5, to the extent that liquid or solid materials are contemplated in paragraph [0001] of Williams, alimentary, beverage or food products are contemplated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Elias (US Patent no. 6,868,627). The difference between the claimed subject matter and Williams resides in each of the containers in the set comprising a can containing a beverage with a sidewall and the identifier member is disposed on the sidewall.

Elias teaches that it is desirable to provide a can with identifying indicia with the embodiments of figures 8-10 comprising a label attached to a sidewall. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the containers of Williams et al by employing containers in the set comprising a can, in order to provide visually distinguishable beverage containers of a more conventionally used type.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 8, 9, 12, 27 and 30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 14-23 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,435

Art Unit: 3728

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

9. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David T. Fider Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf January 9, 2007